

Scientometric framewoks for the management of open science

– Beyond the rethoric –

Soós Sándor



MTA KIK TTO www.mtakszi.iif.hu



DC CAT COUNT PROJECT SYNOPSIS









1. Open Science (Access) and scientometrics



MTA KIK TTO www.mtakszi.iif.hu

- Role as percieved by research managers and sales managers
- Situation: contemporary collection management (subscriptions, APCs etc.)
- Framework: evaluative
- Argument:
 - highly ranked journal collection (along some mearsures) ightarrow
 - high quality content \rightarrow
 - Necessary scientific information \rightarrow must subscribe
 - OS-management questions: paywall vs. OA and APCs
 - Problem: are these the real factors behind the supply of and demand for scientific information?





- Role as provided by the unexpoited potential of different scientometric frameworks
- Scientometrics: quantitative science studies (bibliometrics: quantitative study of scholarly communication)
- Areas of OS management aided by scientometric evidence:
 - Scientific information services, optimal portfolio balancing paywall subscriptions, OA venues and APC-related deals:
 - Incentives for OA publishing:
 - Interventions for OA publishing: Plan S
 - Evaluation of OA mandates and policies



Area: scientific information services

 Scientific information services, optimal portfolio balancing paywall subscriptions, OA venues and APC-related deals: actual use of scientific information → bibliometric reference analysis



Belter, C. W., & Kaske, N. K. (2016). Using bibliometrics to demonstrate the value of library journal collections. College and research libraries, 77(4), 410.



Area: incentives for OA publishing

Table 5 Logistic regression of access type by authors' institutional affiliation (odds ratios).



Factors of OA publishing (versus paywall publishing): bibliometric modelling of OA publishing

Č.	· · · ·									
	Closed		Gold (APC)		Gold (Free)		Green		Hybrid	
	First author	Last author	First author	Last author	First author	Last author	First author	Last author	First author	Last author
Company	.641 (.254)	.800 (.295)	1.284 (.591)	1.112 (.509)	1.885 (1.178)	[Null]	.623 (.246)	.880 (.318)	3.225 ^{***} (1.430)	2.403 (1.120)
Government	.635 (.167)	.815 (.201)	.856 (.301)	.838 (.283)	3.437 ^{***} (1.206)	4.600 ^{***} (.1522)	.881 (.218)	.625 (.162)	.971 (.277)	1.092 (.454)
Hospital	1.062 (.199)	1.254 (.229)	.708 (.205)	.825 (.218)	.711 (.343)	1.058 (.447)	.856 (.164)	.681 [*] (.135)	1.177 (.249)	1.677 (.476)
Non-profit	.847 (.160)	.784 (.144)	1.511 (.342)	.849 (.211)	2.676 ^{***} (.795)	2.735 ^{***} (.810)	.531 ^{***} (.109)	.849 (.154)	1.658 ^{**} (.323)	1.740^{*} (.466)
Research Institute	1.203 (.301)	1.023 (.257)	1.198 (.397)	1.059 (.349)	1.146 (.617)	1.840 (.842)	.712 (.191)	.829 (.214)	1.200 (.341)	1.045 (.465)
Scientific Association	.892 (.492)	1.091 (.467)	.428 (.445)	.505 (.377)	[Null]	[Null]	1.514 (.791)	1.490 (.620)	.554 (.423)	.522 (.538)
University	[Omitted]	[Omitted]	[Omitted]	[Omitted]	[Omitted]	[Omitted]	[Omitted]	[Omitted]	[Omitted]	[Omitted]
Constant	.561 ^{****} (.040)	.550 ^{***} (.040)	.167 ^{****} (.016)	.180 ^{****} (.017)	.051 ^{****} (.008)	.047 ^{***} (.008)	.578 ^{***} (.041)	.568 ^{****} (.041)	.278 ^{****} (.023)	.083 ^{***} (.011)

Siler, K., Haustein, S., Smith, E., Larivière, V., & Alperin, J. P. (2018). Authorial and institutional stratification in open access publishing: the case of global health research. PeerJ, 6, e4269.



 Factors of OA publishing (versus paywall publishing): behavioral models



Moksness, L., & Olsen, S. O. (2017). Understanding researchers' intention to publish in open access journals. Journal of Documentation, 73(6), 1149-1166.



- Some important factors identified in bibliometric and behavioral models:
 - Need to publish in esteemed/highly ranked/quality journals (scepticism for OA journals, Hybrid choices)
 - Availability of financial resources
 - Sensitivity to the risk non-conservative publication venues
 - Adoption of innovative methods





2.

Plan S: a scientometric approach



MTA KIK TTO www.mtakszi.iif.hu

- **Plan S:** top-down approach to implementing OA mandates
- Direct intervention to publishing behavior
- Key notion: compliance
- Assumes free choice and substitution of outlets
- However...
- Publication behavior constrained by field culture and social factors of the community (peer review, outlet quality, collaboration etc.)
- Conservative
- Scientometric evidence: elasticity of publication behavior within scientific communities



- **Plan S:** top-down approach to implementing OA mandates
- Direct intervention to publishing behavior
- Key notion: compliance
- Assumes free choice and substitution of outlets
- However...
- Publication behavior constrained by field culture and social factors of the community (familiarity with venue-related practices, expert perceptions of journal quality, collaboration etc.)
- Therefore is expected to be conservative
- Scientometric evidence: elasticity of publication behavior within scientific communities





- Goal: Characterizing the long-term flexibility of publication profiles (in terms of outlets) for communities
- Key factor: field culture
- Data:
 - Hungarian WoS-indexed output within Subject Categories
 2010-2017
- Method:
 - Comparing the distribution of publication venues along the entire time period
 - Mapping the similarity of the journal profiles for different periods (publication years)
 - Measure: Cosine similarity between annual journal profiles



Agronomy



MA 1826 K



Agronomy







Biochemistry, molecular biology



Biochemistry, molecular biology

Computer science, information systems

A

COMN: COMPUTER NETWORKS ITOIT: IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON INFORMATION THEORY INPL: INFORMATION PROCESSING LETTERS INFS: INFORMATION SCIENCES JOCIAM: JOURNAL OF CHEMICAL INFORMATION AND MODELIN JOGC: JOURNAL OF GRID COMPUTING 00 IOGC IAN INPL 000

COMC: COMPUTER COMMUNICATIONS

Computer science, information systems

COMPUTER SCIENCE, INFORMATION SYSTEMS

Economics

Economics

ECONOMICS

Nutrition and dietetics

MA 1826 K

Nutrition and dietetics

NUTRITION & DIETETICS

- Subject areas (national research communities) do exhibit a conservative publishing behavioir at varying levels
- The models also provide evidence of some elasticity through *"satellite"* groups of journals
- Conservative publishing behavior is expected to be more articulated at the group or author level
- In general:
- Scientometric (bibliometric) modelling of publishing behavior is needed to inform the feasibility of Plan S

DC CAT COUNT PROJECT SYNOPSIS

