Open Access Publications Overview of current WoS status

Focus on Open Science conference Budapest, November 2017



Massimiliano Carloni

Agenda

1.Clarivate Analytics



2.Web of Science Universe and journals selection

3.Gold Open Access numbers and figures

4. Two big news: ESCI and OAdoi

5. New figures: a projection



To understand the future of Open Access you need to analyze its past performance and you can only do that with appropriate data in an agnostic and neutral environment



Clarivate Analytics



Clarivate Analytics



MarkMonitor Protecting brands in the digital world

Derwent Patents Powering IP Innovation



The Web of Science Universe

102 Million unique publication records 33,000+ Unique active journals 30M Inventions from 54M patents 7M Datasets/Data studies



16 Databases in a citation network of more than 1.4 BILLION cited references





Are open access journal selected differently?



Are open access journals selected differently? OA is entirely compatible with peer review and with our standard selection process



Black = additional SCIE/SSCI/AHCI

requirements

covered?

Clarivate

Analytics

 International editorial conventions

What does it mean Open Access in this very moment on WoS-CC?



— [Gold	Publish open access p	<i>ing colour</i> ublishing	
	Archiving colou	urs		
	Green	can archive pre	e-print and post-print	
	Blue	can archive po	st print (ie final draft post-refereeing)	
	Yellow	can archive pre	e-print (ie pre-refereeing)	
	White	archiving not for	prinally supported	
	32	A		
		Z	Ļ	

Analytics

Source: https://doaj.org/

OA Gold presence on Web of Science

EDITION	# OA Titles	# WoS Edition (Total)	% OA by Edition
SCIE	987	8,688	11%
SSCI	173	3,265	5%
AHCI	83	1,785	5%
WOS-3	1,179	12,884	9%
BIOSIS	515	5,356	10%
ZR	382	4,929	8%
SCIELO	577	994	58%



How has open access grown so far?





Comparing Hungary and surrounding countries in Gold OA



Compare Hungary and surrounding countries in Gold OA (2004-2016 trend)



Hungary is by percentage, only beyond former Yugoslavian countries With 12,54% OA articles in 2016



Hungarian papers in Gold OA (2016)





How is Hungaria's production in Gold Open Access (2004-2016)?



Absolute Values

Relative Values



Compare EU-28 countries in Gold OA (2016)



Hungary is by percentage, well positioned in terms of GOLD OA adoption with respect to EU-28 context With 12,54% OA articles in 2016



Curiosities about Gold Open Access

USA 343,841 Country for absolute number of OA records

BRASIL 19,87%

Country for percentage of OA records¹

CAPES ~20% Funding Agency for percentage of OA records



ENGLAND (254) Country for absolute number of OA journals²

NSF CHINA (118,636)

Funding Agency for absolute number of OA records

¹With a mínimum limit of 5000 OA documents ²JCR 2017 Between 1980 and 2017

BIOCHEMISTRY & MOLECULAR BIOLOGY (84,976)

Category for absolute number of OA records



What's the Impact of publishing in Open Access?



What's the Impact of publishing in Open Access?



Hungary is above EU-28 baseline and in general has a good CNCI 1 is the world average

Clarivate Analytics

What's the Impact of publishing in Open Access?



Hungary is above EU-28 baseline and in general has an high rate of production of excellent and good papers



Which is the Key Point in Open Access?



OA papers are more discoverable and come to have an higher probability to be cited



% docs cited

Open Access Publications

Overview of current WoS status Let's go deeper in the (current) future





We cannot make the future of open access But we can make it discoverable for you









The introduction of a new index in Web of Science Core Collection



33,000+ unique journals

138 MILLION unique recordsin a network of more than1.4 BILLION cited references



Web of Science Journal Selection Criteria

Journal Publishing Standards



- Peer review
- Ethical publishing practices
- Meets technical requirements (XML / PDF)
- English-language bibliographic information
- Timeliness of publication
- International editorial conventions

- Scholarly community recommendation or demonstrated interest
- Will this journal enrich WoS with novel content?
- How does this journal compare with covered journals of similar scope?
- Is this subject already well covered?



- Does this journal target an international audience or specifically a regional audience?
- Is international representation among authors and board members at an appropriate level for such a journal?

Citation Analysis

- Total citations
- Recent citation activity
- Author and editorial board members' citations in the literature
- Integration of the journal into the literature over time

Green = ESCI selection requirements Black = SCIE/SSCI/AHCI selection



http://wokinfo.com/essays/journal-selection-process/



Makes our rigorous selection criteria

more transparent by exposing content earlier in the selection process

Web of Science Journal Selection Process



October 31st over 4,750 journals and 1M records, 2005-2014

December 31st over 5,000

journals and 1.4M records, 2005-2014 December 31st

7,000 journals available in ESCI



Current Situation (Mid November)



ESCI Overall \longrightarrow 1,7 M Records ESCI Archive \longrightarrow 1,1 M Records



OA Gold presence on Web of Science

EDITION	# OA Titles	# WoS Edition (Total)	% OA by Edition
SCIE	987	8,888	11%
SSCI	173	3,265	5%
AHCI	83	1,785	5%
WOS-3	1,179	12,884	9%
ESCI	2,129	6,232	34%
WoS Core Collection	3,308	19,116	17%
BIOSIS	515	5,366	10%
ZR	382	4,929	8%





Impactstory Partnership





Excited to announce New Impactstory partnership with Clarivate to help oaDOI find even more **#openaccess**:

Clarivate New partnership with Clarivate to help oaDOI find even mor... We're excited to announce a new partnership with Clarivate Analytics! This partnership between Impactstory and Clarivate will help fund better coverage of Open Access in the oaDOI database. blog.impactstory.org

9:34 AM - 23 Jun 2017

17 Retweets 22 Likes 🥘 🕅

😏 🕒 🎩 🥵 🌚 🕐 🗶 🖓



Web of Science will provide direct access to additional, legal Open Access content

Clarivate Analytics has invested in technology so that you can soon:

- Find <u>Hybrid</u> Gold OA articles when searching the Web of Science
- Find Green OA articles when searching the Web of Science

To develop this capability, we have given a grant to Impactstory.





The grant funds improvements to Impactstory's oaDOI technology. We are using oaDOI to provide reliable linking to the best available version of OA content.



- For Green OA articles, Web of Science will only link to peer-reviewed items from open repositories, NOT "pre-prints." We will identify two types of Green OA articles:
 - Accepted Manuscript
 - Published Version
- For all OA articles, Web of Science will preference links to the publisher's version, when available.



Available Q4 2017 / Q1 2018



It's coming to be true

Results: 144,453,113 (from All Databases) You searched for: YEAR PUBLISHED: (1900-2018) ...More **Open Access Refine Results** Search within results for... Q Π. Filter results by: Highly Cited in Field (138,846) Hot Papers in Field (2,868) 🥠 Open Access (6,584,855) 3 Refine





Is this coming true ?



Historical timeline and projected proportion of OA literature. (Source: Impactstory)



How will look like Hungaria's production in Open Access (2004-2016)?





How will look like Hungaria's & close countries total production in Open Access in 2016?









Massimiliano Carloni, Solutions Specialist | massimiliano.carloni@clarivate.com | clarivate.com Marko Zovko, Regional Account Manager | marko.zovko@clarivate.com | clarivate.com

EDITION	# OA Titles	# WoS Edition (Total)	% OA by Edition
SCIE	987	8,888	11%
SSCI	173	3,265	5%
AHCI	83	1,785	5%
WOS-3	1,179	12,884	9%
BIOSIS	515	5,356	10%
ZR	382	4,929	8%
SCIELO	577	994	58%
MEDLINE	483	5,533	9%
RSCI	32	629	5%
KDJ	59	2,313	3%
CSCD	44	1,600	3%
WOS Connection	4,018	29,431	14%
JCR	1,086	12,123	9%





EDITION	# OA Titles	# WoS Edition (Total)	% OA by Edition
SCIE	987	8,888	11%
SSCI	173	3,265	5%
AHCI	83	1,785	5%
WOS-3	1,179	12,884	9%
ESCI	2,129	6,232	34%
WoS Core Collection	3,308	19,116	17%
BIOSIS	515	5,356	10%
ZR	382	4,929	8%
SCIELO	577	994	58%
MEDLINE	483	5,533	9%
RSCI	32	629	5%
KDJ	59	2,313	3%
CSCD	44	1,600	3%
WOS Connection	4,018	29,431	14%
JCR	1,086	12,123	9%





OA literature is not free to produce, even if it is less expensive to produce than conventionally published literature. The question is not whether scholarly literature can be made costless, but whether there are better ways to pay the bills than by charging readers and creating access barriers. Business models for paying the bills depend on how OA is delivered.

OA archives or repositories do not perform peer review, but simply make their contents freely available to the world. They may contain unrefereed preprints, refereed postprints, or both. Archives may belong to institutions, such as universities and laboratories, or disciplines, such as physics and economics. Authors may archive their preprints without anyone else's permission, and a majority of journals already permit authors to archive their postprints. When archives comply with the metadata harvesting protocol of the Open Archives Initiative, then they are interoperable and users can find their contents without knowing which archives exist, where they are located, or what they contain. There is now open-source software for building and maintaining OAI-compliant archives and worldwide momentum for using it. **OA** journals perform peer review and then make the approved contents freely available to the world. Their expenses consist of peer review, manuscript preparation, and server space. OA journals pay their bills very much the way broadcast television and radio stations do: those with an interest in disseminating the content pay the production costs upfront so that access can be free of charge for everyone with the right equipment. Sometimes this means that journals have a subsidy from the hosting university or professional society. Sometimes it means that journals charge a processing fee on accepted articles, to be paid by the author or the author's sponsor (employer, funding agency). OA journals that charge processing fees usually waive them in cases of economic hardship. OA journals with institutional subsidies tend to charge no processing fees. OA journals can get by on lower subsidies or fees if they have income from other publications, advertising, priced add-ons, or auxiliary services. Some institutions and consortia arrange fee discounts. Some OA publishers waive the fee for all researchers affiliated with institutions that have purchased an annual membership. There's a lot of room for creativity in finding ways to pay the costs of a peer-reviewed OA journal, and we're far from having exhausted our cleverness and imagination.



What are the advantages of Open Access?

- More people can read the results of scholarly research
- Businesses also have broad access to the most recent scientific ideas

New ideas can be dispersed more rapidly and widely

Recent knowledge can be put to immediate use in teaching

Publishing colour						
Gold	open access publishing					

Archiving colours

Green	can archive pre-print and post-print
Blue	can archive post-print (ie final draft post-refereeing)
Yellow	can archive pre-print (ie pre-refereeing)
White	archiving not formally supported

http://www.budapestopenaccessinitiative.org/read



Source: http://www.openaccess.nl/en/what-is-open-access/pros-and-cons

Where does your data come from?

We use a number of different data sources to find open versions of articles (or in many cases, to determine that the articles are open already):

- The Directory of Open Access Journals to see if it's in their index of OA journals.
- CrossRef's license metadata field, to see if the publisher has reported an open license.
- Our own custom list DOI prefixes, to see if it's in a known preprint repository.
- · DataCite, to see if it's an open dataset.
- The wonderful BASE OA search engine to see if there's a Green OA copy of the article. BASE indexes 90mil+ open documents in 4000+ repositories by harvesting OAI-PMH metadata.
- Repository pages directly, in cases where BASE was unable to determine openness.
- Journal article pages directly, to see if there's a free PDF link (this is great for detecting hybrid OA)

Who is behind oaDOI?

We're Impactstory, a nonprofit working to make science more open and reusable online.

How is oaDOI funded?

Impactstory is supported by grants from the National Science Foundation and the Alfred P. Sloan Foundation. We'll be supporting oaDOI as spinoff of existing projects for the next year, but we're looking for additional funding to expand the service even further. Possible models include grants and Service-Level Agreements with data users. No matter what funding models we end up with, the API will always remain free and open.

Why does the Unpaywall extension sometimes give different results from oaDOI? Our Unpaywall browser extension uses oaDOI to find fulltext whenever you run into paywalled articles. It supplements oaDOI with other data sources, too; for instance, Unpaywall tries to parse and understand scholarly article pages as you view them. Consequently, Unpaywall's results are a bit more comprehensive than what you'd get by calling oaDOI directly.

Coming December 2017 to Web of Science Open Access:

- Find Hybrid Gold OA articles when searching the Web of Science
- Find Green OA articles when searching the Web of Science
- For Green OA articles, Web of Science will only link to peer-reviewed versions from open repositories, NOT *pre-prints." We will identify two types of Green OA articles:
- Accepted Manuscript
- Published Version
- For all OA articles, Web of Science will preference links to the publisher's Gold version, when available.

Expanded Open Access Identification will help you find legally available Green & Hybrid articles.



Current Numbers (week 11 November)

Titles requested for ESCI review	14,714
Titles selected	7,301
Titles rejected	4,373
Titles under evaluation	3,040
Titles in the product	6,901

Around 60% acceptance rate Around 50% journals number increase respect to WOS-3



Emerging Sources Citation Index (ESCI): Key Data

+200 countries represented (22 NEW)			80% of Output outside North-America	53% of Output outside North-America & Europe			
	Around 50% more journals coverage		+11,000 journals evaluated	Around 50% increase in Social Sciences			
	Africa 5	5		Africa			
Α	sia Pacific 30	0	4% 4% Social s	aciences APAC			
Eastern Europe27India1Middle East22North America3		7	- Arts & F	15% Western Europe			
		1	^{39%} = Clinical	medicine Eastern E	urope		
		2	14% Physics Enginee	ering South America 9%			
		3	= Ecology	y and environment	dia 1%		
Lat	in America 3	5	24% = Life scie	ences Middle East 8%			
Wes	tern Europe 20	6		20%			

Clarivate Analytics

Emerging Sources Citation Index (ESCI): Hungary

1	A	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I	J	К	L	М	N	0	Р	Q
	COUNTRY	SCIE	SSCI	AHCI	ESCI	BIOSIS	ZR	KDJ	SCIELO	RSCI	CSCD	MEDLINE	CABI	INSPEC	FSTA	WoS	SCOPUS
1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	t 💌				-	-	-	-	•	-		-	-	-	All 🔽	
51	HUNGARY	31	5	4	12	10	33					8	21	3		127	95

